



How Do Local Taxes Affect School Finance Equity?

Property taxes are the most common mechanism to generate local revenue for public school systems but are also a long-standing source of inequity. Policymakers and advocates should be aware of the challenges created by local funding mechanisms in their states and consider potential policy solutions to produce more equitable education funding.

How Property Taxes Fund Schools

The largest share of funding for public schools (47%) is generated by taxes at the local level.¹ Property taxes are the most common source of local tax revenue for public schools in large part because they provide a stable revenue stream compared to taxes on sales or income.² These taxes can be set by counties, towns, or school boards, depending on state policy.³

Property taxes are typically collected based on two variables: assessed value of property and property tax rate, sometimes called the “mill” rate. The **assessed value** of a property is the dollar value used by a local government to determine how much a particular property is worth. The *assessed* value of a property may not always match the *market* value. Some local governments use an assessment rate to translate the market value of a property into taxable value.⁴

The **mill rate** is the number of dollars per \$1,000 in assessed value that property owners will owe each year. The mill rate multiplied by assessed value equals local property tax bills, calculated as follows:⁵

$$\left(\frac{\text{Assessed value}}{\$1,000} \right) \times \text{Mill Rate} = \text{Local Property Tax Bill}$$

EXAMPLE:

$$\left(\frac{\text{Home valued at } \$200,000}{\$1,000} \right) \times \text{Mill Rate of } 30 = \$6,000 \text{ in Property Tax}$$

In some instances, state policy directly shapes or limits property taxes. Some states include caps on how much mill rates can grow each year; other states limit the growth in the assessed values of properties over time.⁶

How Property Taxes Produce Education Finance Inequities

School districts generate revenue from the local property tax associated with property located within districts' geographic boundaries. When school districts have significantly different levels of property wealth producing those tax revenues compared to one another, a massive barrier to equitable educational finance results.

The taxable property wealth in Bridgeport, Connecticut, is equivalent to more than \$500,000 per pupil.^{7,8} However, neighboring Fairfield, Connecticut, has nearly \$2 million in taxable property wealth per pupil – more than *three times* that of Bridgeport.

Example

For these two communities to generate the same dollar amount of per-pupil funding for their local public schools, Bridgeport would need to tax itself at a significantly higher rate than Fairfield. Bridgeport's 2020-21 mill rate (43.45) is significantly higher than Fairfield's (26.98),⁹ but it is not enough to overcome the massive gap in wealth between these two communities. This story repeats in many parts of the country.

Making Local School Funding More Equitable

The way school district boundaries are drawn and the way school funding formulas account for differences in tax capacity among districts can reflect, amplify, or mitigate property wealth inequality. In addition to crafting state funding mechanisms that direct funds toward districts serving students with the highest needs, state policymakers can also implement policies that directly address local funding inequity by constraining runaway local taxation by wealthier districts, compensating for local revenue differences with state funds, and/or redistributing some of those funds:¹⁰

- **Capping local revenue:** State policymakers can set a limit on the amount of per-pupil revenue local communities can generate for their public schools.
- **Supplementing local tax revenues in lower-wealth districts to equalize their ability to generate funding:** States can provide additional funding to lower-wealth districts who choose to set a higher tax rate to ensure they get the same revenue for their tax effort that wealthier districts get.
- **Implementing redistributive mechanisms for exceeding local revenue caps:** If communities wish to generate more revenue for their local school system above the cap, policymakers can set a rule that for every additional dollar generated above the cap, another dollar must be allocated to a state fund for schools in lower-wealth communities.
- **Enacting a statewide property tax:** Moving from many locally collected taxes to a single, statewide property tax is the most direct way to address property wealth inequities among school districts. Vermont is the only state to implement a state-level property tax system for financing education.



QUESTIONS FOR ADVOCATES

- How do property wealth per pupil and mill rates vary by school district in your state?
- Do school districts in your state have non-property tax local revenue sources?
- Does state policy work to compensate for differences in local revenue capacity among districts? If so, how?
- What policies might help improve the equity of local funding in your state?

Splitting the Bill is a crash course in the essentials of school finance equity for advocates and others interested in reforming state education finance systems. Learn more and read the other briefs in this nine-part series at www.bellwethereducation.org/publication/splitting-the-bill.

Endnotes

- 1 “Public School Revenue Sources,” National Center for Education Statistics, May 2021, <https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/cma>.
- 2 Reschovsky, “The Future of U.S. Public School Revenue From the Property Tax,” https://www.lincolnst.edu/sites/default/files/pubfiles/future-us-public-school-revenue-policy-brief_0.pdf.
- 3 “State and Local Finance Initiative—Property Taxes,” Urban Institute, accessed September 15, 2021, <https://www.urban.org/policy-centers/cross-center-initiatives/state-and-local-finance-initiative/projects/state-and-local-backgrounders/property-taxes>.
- 4 Lisa Kaplan Gordon, “Assessed Value vs. Market Value: What’s the Difference?” Realtor.com, October 15, 2019, <https://www.realtor.com/advice/sell/assessed-value-vs-market-value-difference/>.
- 5 “Standard on Property Tax Policy—A Criterion for Measuring Fairness, Quality, Equity and Accuracy,” International Association of Assessing Officers, January 2020, https://www.iaao.org/media/standards/Standard_on_Property_Tax_Policy.pdf.
- 6 “Policy Basics: Property Tax Caps,” Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, December 18, 2008, <https://www.cbpp.org/research/property-tax-caps>.
- 7 “Equalized Net Grand List by Town,” State of Connecticut Office of Policy and Management, accessed September 15, 2021, <https://portal.ct.gov/OPM/IGPP-MAIN/Publications/Equalized-Net-Grand-List-By-Town>.
- 8 “EdSight Profile and Performance Reports,” Connecticut State Department of Education, accessed September 15, 2021, <https://edsight.ct.gov/SASPortal/main.do>.
- 9 “FY 2021-2022 Mill Rates,” Connecticut State Department of Education, accessed September 15, 2021, <https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/OPM/IGPP-Data-Grants-Mgmt/Mill-Rates-20GL-22FY-8-6-21.pdf>.
- 10 “Common Sense and Fairness: Model Policies for State Education Funding,” EdBuild, 2020, <https://edbuild.org/content/edbuilder/reports/full-report>.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for their financial support of this project, to Super Copy Editors, and to Five Line Creative for graphic design. Any errors in fact or analysis are the responsibility of the authors alone.

About the Authors

Alex Spurrier

Alex Spurrier is an associate partner at Bellwether Education Partners in the Policy and Evaluation practice area. He can be reached at alex.spurrier@bellwethereducation.org.

Bonnie O'Keefe

Bonnie O'Keefe is an associate partner at Bellwether Education Partners in the Policy and Evaluation practice area. She can be reached at bonnie.okeefe@bellwethereducation.org.

Jennifer O'Neal Schiess

Jennifer O'Neal Schiess is a partner at Bellwether Education Partners in the Policy and Evaluation practice area. She can be reached at jennifer.schiess@bellwethereducation.org.

About Bellwether Education Partners

Bellwether Education Partners is a national nonprofit focused on dramatically changing education and life outcomes for underserved children. We do this by helping education organizations accelerate their impact and by working to improve policy and practice. Bellwether envisions a world in which race, ethnicity, and income no longer predict opportunities for students, and the American education system affords all individuals the ability to determine their own path and lead a productive and fulfilling life.

© 2021 Bellwether Education Partners



This report carries a Creative Commons license, which permits noncommercial re-use of content when proper attribution is provided. This means you are free to copy, display and distribute this work, or include content from this report in derivative works, under the following conditions:



Attribution. You must clearly attribute the work to Bellwether Education Partners, and provide a link back to the publication at <http://bellwethereducation.org/>.



Noncommercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes without explicit prior permission from Bellwether Education Partners.



Share Alike. If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under a license identical to this one.

For the full legal code of this Creative Commons license, please visit www.creativecommons.org. If you have any questions about citing or reusing Bellwether Education Partners content, please contact us.